Why much of Chemistry may be indisputably non-bonded?

Porque grande parte da Química pode ser indiscutivelmente não ligada?

Boaz Galdino de Oliveira¹

Abstract

In this compendium, the wide scope of all intermolecular interactions ever known has been revisited, in particular giving emphasis the capability of much of the elements of the periodic table to form non-covalent contacts. Either hydrogen bonds, dihydrogen bonds, halogen bonds, pnictogen bonds, chalcogen bonds, triel bonds, tetrel bonds, regium bonds, spodium bonds or even the aerogen bond interactions may be cited. Obviously that experimental techniques have been used in some works, but it was through the theoretical methods that these interactions were validate, wherein the QTAIM integrations and SAPT energy partitions have been useful in this regard. Therefore, the great goal concerns to elucidate the interaction strength and if the intermolecular system shall be total, partial or non-covalently bonded, wherein this last one encompasses the most majority of the intermolecular interactions what leading to affirm that chemistry is debatably non-bonded.

Keywords: intermolecular interactions; periodic table; theoretical methods; non-bonded.

Resumo

Neste compêndio, o amplo escopo de todas as interações intermoleculares já conhecidas foi revisitado, em particular dando ênfase à capacidade de muitos dos elementos da tabela periódica de formar contatos não covalentes. Podem ser citadas tanto ligações de hidrogênio, ligações de di-hidrogênio, ligações de halogênio, ligações de pnictogênio, ligações de calcogênio, ligações de triel, ligações de tetrel, ligações de regium, ligações de espódio ou mesmo as interações de ligações aerogênicas. Obviamente que técnicas experimentais têm sido utilizadas em alguns trabalhos, mas foi através dos métodos teóricos que estas interações foram validadas, onde as integrações QTAIM e as partições de energia SAPT têm sido úteis neste sentido. Portanto, o grande objetivo é elucidar a força de interaçõe se o sistema intermolecular deve ser total, parcial ou não covalentemente ligado, sendo que este último engloba a maioria das interações intermoleculares o que leva a afirmar que a química é discutivelmente não ligada.

Palavras-chave: interações intermoleculares; tabela periódica; métodos teóricos; não-ligado.

¹ Prof. Dr., Chemistry Depto., UFOB, Barreiras, BA, Brazil; E-mail: boazgaldino@gmail.com

Introduction

Once all together in cooperation, the atoms, the chemical bonds hitherto known, and electronic structure compose the foundation responsible for the functioning of the chemical reactions, and this is validated either by kinetic or thermodynamic viewpoint (FULTZ, 2014; NÄTHER *et al.*, 2013; PISANO *et al.*, 2002) and even some events arising from electrochemical nature are also included in this context (MAGNUSSEN; GRO β , 2019).

Historically, it is known that the studies of Boyle about gaseous substances have inspired Newton to propose its corpuscularism presented in the form of 'ultimate particles of the matter' (VIANA; PORTO, 2007). However, the association between Henry and Dalton promulgated the first contemporary atomic theory (FILGUEIRAS, 2007), and later, the discoveries of both Thomson and Rutherford (MANSOOR, 2009) have opened wide horizons to understand the electronic arrangement around the atomic nuclei (EVANS, 1942). Until more recent times, the atomic profile was definitively established through the solving of the 'Schrödinger' equation for the hydrogen atom (KURNIAWAN et al., 2019) as well as to other systems based on formalism of the Born-Oppenheimer approximation ruled by the postulates of the quantum mechanics (MÁTYUS, 2019; VEIS et al., 2016).

This scenery give support to the knowledge about the molecular structure and electronic structure (ŠTĚPÁNEK *et al.*, 2017), where the first concept is used to delineate the position of the atoms and the last one specifies the way by which they interact as well as shall be linked each other.

Methods

The chemical interaction interpreted ob the basis of theoretical premises

In a broad analysis between the vision of the molecular geometry and its relationship with the chemical bond (GILLESPIE; POPELIER, 2003), the contribution of the Valence-Shell Electron-Pair Repulsion (VSEPR) model proposed by Gillespie is irrepressibly notorious (GILLESPIE, 1963). Despite bonding term express mutuality or interactivity, it is not similar to linkage. Indeed, it means that something binds or restrains. For too long, since there was no analytical protocol to unveil how the atoms bond each another, and this was treated as an undefined physical observable until the improvement of some spectroscopy techniques (ZHANG *et al.*, 2013). Well, it is the electronic density (MACCHI, 2013) makes real the interatomic contacts (IRIBARREN et al., 2021), and as aforesaid, an irrefutable mathematic formalism to described it still remains as one of the greatest interdisciplinary challenges for the physics and chemistry (HELLI-WELL, 2017). So, the absence of mathematic foundation limits the truly recognition of the electronic density as feasible natural observatory for the chemistry. However, it is remarkable to emphasize that some proposals were divulged in order to equate a relationship between electronic density and chemical stability (HIRSHFELD, 1977a; KA-MAL et al., 2020; PIETSCH; HANSEN, 1996), and with all the credits, truly the contributions of Hirshfeld (HIR-SHFELD, 1977b) and Hubbard-Holstein (HOLSTEIN, 1959; VON DER LINDEN; BERGER; VALÁŠEK, 1995) were essentials in this regard.

The most used quantum approaches for interaction studies

Turning out to the practice, since the formulation of the Lewis theory of the lone pairs of electrons (FILGUEIRAS, 2016; GILLESPIE; ROBINSON, 2007; LEWIS, 1916; SEABORG, 1984) widely used as response to the behavior of polar compounds in aqueous solution and, mediated by the Pauling's empirical electronegativity (MURPHY et al., 2000; TANTARDINI; OGANOV, 2021), until the content of the Generalized Valence Bond (GVB) method (GODDARD III et al., 1973) and Molecular Orbital (MO) (ROOTHAAN, 1951) theory calculations, as well as the more recent statement of the Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules (QTAIM) (AYERS; FRENKING, 2012; BADER, 1991), a wide range of molecular structures and chemical bonds have been studied in order to unveil the phenomenology that exist within them (FARRUGIA et al., 2009; FRONTERA; BAUZÁ, 2021; MATTA, 2013; OLIVEIRA, 2020; PADASH; ESFAHANI; RAD, 2021; REGO; OLIVEIRA, 2020; ROJAS et al., 2020; SUMA et al., 2020).

The QTAIM proposal is dedicated to a quantum view of the chemical bond based on the quantification of the electronic density through the algebraic equations (BADER; NGUYEN-DANG, 1981) and physical approaches (BADER, 2011), some of them revolutionary due the fact to model open systems conception as those that exchanges charge and momentum with their neighbors (BADER; HERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO; CORTÉS-GUZMÁN, 2007; BADER, 2001). It is, in this point that, QTAIM focuses greatly the description of the chemical bond, and of course justly by means of the electronic density taken as physical quantity for topological integrations on molecular surface (BIEGLER-KÖNIG; BADER; TANG, 1982). Moreover, it should be noticed that many other singular contributions have been documented (RO-DRÍGUEZ *et al.*, 2016), either from the theoretical insights as aforesaid or even those based on experimental procedures (CHOPRA, 2012), all of them greatly important along the genealogy of the chemical bond (HUNTER; RODRIGUEZ; BECKER, 2022; RITTER, 2016), so to speak.

Besides the QTAIM capabilities devoted to locate bond paths in order to estimate the fluz of charge density between two atoms (BADER, 2009; JABŁOŃSKI, 2021), the intermolecular researches are also supported by an useful strategy of electronic partition of energy by which the interaction strength is accounted (UMEYAMA; MOROKUMA, 1977). On this foregoing, the nature and source of the electronic energy in terms of the electrostatic (elest), exchange (exc), polarization (pol), charge transfer (ct) and dispersion (disp) contributions frame the intermolecular system as weak or strongly bound (PHIPPS et al., 2015). This is amazing cause a lot of parameters are ruled by the interaction strength (OLIVEIRA; ARAÚJO, 2012), such as the frequency shifts in the infrared spectrum (HEINDEL; KNODEL; SCHOFIELD, 2018), for instance.

Nowadays, the mostly used protocol for partition of energy is the Symmetry-Adapted Perturbation Theory (SAPT) developed by Jeziorski; Moszynski; Szalewicz (1994) and Szalewicz (2012), and due its importance many others works and revisions have been published in recent years (GARCIA; PODESZWA; SZALEWICZ, 2020; HAPKA *et al.*, 2020; PATKOWSKI, 2020). It is through the computing of the SAPT energies labeled as E_{elest} , E_{exc} , E_{pol} , E_{ct} and E_{disp} for modeling of the interaction strength that some intermolecular covalent profiles have been discovered (GRABOWSKI, 2016).

More specifically in a revision signed by Grabowski (2011), the intermolecular covalence is clearly treated and discussed, and from SAPT energies, the induction/electrostatic ratio in a relationship with the intermolecular distance defines if the intermolecular system may be total, partial or noncovalent. In other words, the induction or delocalization (polarization and charge transfer) is the responsible by the covalent character that is so much looking for.

The IUPAC manifestation to refine some definitions

In the midst of importance whether the atoms are bonded or even under interaction condition (RZEPA, 2009), a mandatory conception to cite the non-bonded forms is well-known upon the hydrogen bond formation (VAN DER LUBBE; GUERRA, 2019). Among the scientists, however, the hydrogen bond is discerned indistinctly as theme worthy of Nobel prize (KOJIĆ-PRODIĆ; MOLČANOV, 2008), and in face of this scenario, an IU-PAC document elaborated by a group of great experts in area delineated a new profile to this interaction (ARUNAN et al., 2011). So, the historical background recognizes Lewis as one of the hydrogen bonding pioneers due to its famous studies focused on the elucidation of the acid/base behavior wherein intermolecular interaction phenomena are invoked (ABRAHAM et al., 1990; GIMENO; HER-RERA, 2020; RAHAMAN; DOREN; DI TORO, 2014). After him, it is worthy to emphasize that Pauling was one of the greatest "hydrogen bond scientist" due his work based on the electronegativity scale of the elements applied in important intermolecular researches.

Well, some years later, Pimentel and Mcclellan (1971) have discussed the nature of the hydrogen bonds, where some conflicting interpretations in regards to the electronegativity of the carbon when bonded to oxygen $(H \dots O=C)$ were highlighted (VARGAS *et al.*, 2000). The model idealized by Pauling affirms that the carbon is not enough electronegative to be taken into account in the intermolecular context (GRABOWSKI, 2020a). On the other hand, Pimentel and Mcclellan (1971) argue that hydrogen bond occurs if specifically involves a hydrogen atom bonded to another atom. All of these informations are often reported and serves as support for elucidation of several chemical and physical questions in their own ways (MONDAL; DATTA, 2017).

The types of intermolecular interactions derived from a large part of the elements of the periodic table

Traditionally, the oxygen, fluorine and nitrogen are the most known proton acceptors in systems stabilized at light of the H…F and H…N hydrogen bonds (ADHIKARY *et al.*, 2014; URNER *et al.*, 2022). However, the protonic condition is well acceptable, and thereby, the hydrogen bond framework leads to the X–H…Y model (DESIRAJU, 2011). It can be perceived a separation of electronic density interpreted as charge transference between HOMO and LUMO orbitals of the proton acceptor and donor (CHENG *et al.*, 2019), respectively. Therefore, it was established that other types of proton acceptors can be useful, such as the unsaturated hydrocarbon centers, by which the X–H··· π hydrogen bonds emerged with great evidence (CARVALHO; BUENO; OLIVEIRA, 2019; GRABOWSKI; LIPKOWSKI, 2011; SAGGU; LEVINSON; BOXER, 2012).

In this scenery, it become stated that a single element is not answerable for the formation of the hydrogen bond, but ideally the cornerstone of this interaction is a site with high electronic density (ZHANG *et al.*, 2018), which can be elements with high or median electronegativity or even unsaturated bonds. The magnificence of the π centers is consolidated upon the formation of the $\pi^- \cdots \pi$ sandwich stacking (ZHUANG *et al.*, 2019), whose profile is known as one of the weakest interactions with energy in range of 1-3 kcal.mol⁻¹, being considered then as London dispersion forces. However, the acceptor of protons, in these cases the π bonds, certainly these centers does not turn out as a single source of high electronic density upon the formation of intermolecular interactions.

In some studies, the halogen center attained the independency status thereby yielded the halogen bond formation (CAVALLO *et al.*, 2016). As halogen atoms F, Cl, I and Br and their correspondent anions F⁻, Cl⁻, I⁻, and Br- provide very strong hydrogen bonds, in regards to halogen bonds they interact among each other and form $Y^{-\delta}...Y^{-\delta}$ (TURUNEN; ERDÉLYI, 2020). Normally, the halocarbons function as mediator in the halogen bonds wherein the halogen itself is an electron density acceptor.

It can be quoted that electronic density is the key role to form intermolecular interactions, and the halogen atoms and π bonds are the benchmark sites in this regard (IBRAHIM; MOUSSA, 2020; JALILOV et al., 2020; PRASANNAA; ROW, 2000; SHISHKINA et al., 2022). Independently, this is a non-covalent interaction with absence of Lewis or Brønsted's proton donators, and despite this, it is noted that positive and negative molecular sites bind one to another in a stable form (LU et al., 2007). It is through the polar region wherein occur nucleophilic attacks, i.e., the interaction with electronic density centers, or actually, the π bonds of the hydrocarbons, and thereby the formation of the $Y^{-\delta} \cdots \pi$ halogen- π (SHAH et al., 2017) bond come true, and note that, some of these with covalent evidence (KELLETT; KENNEPOHL; BERLINGUETTE, 2020).

Obviously that the contributions of the hydrogen or halogen atoms are not suppressed, but the literature reports the existence of others intermolecular interactions types (CHAŁASIŃSKI; SZCZĘŚNIAK, 2000). In this intermolecular chemistry world, however, a new and in certain respects intriguing contact arisen as being formed by hydrogen atoms interacting mutually.

From the researches of Crabtree (2011) and (2016), the so-called dihydrogen bonds $X-H^{+\delta}...H^{-\delta}-W$ looks like contradictory in principle, although the separation of charge is motivated by the high electropositive character of W often assumed as an alkaline-earth metal. In some cases, $H^{-\delta}-W$ is taken as a base specie, but this affirmation is quantitatively validated in agreement with topological analysis and electronic profiles (DIXIT; RATHI; BHARATAM, 2010).

The inclusion of alkaline elements in the context of the intermolecular interactions led to one more model symbolized as X–H^{$-\delta$}...H^{$+\delta$} namely by the authors as hydride bond (GRABOWSKI; SOKALSKIC; LESZCZYN-SKI, 2006). In this case, X means to hydrides (HBe, HMg, Li, and Na) (WANG et al., 2012), whereas $Y^{+\delta}$ represents cationic species. Once again, it is worthy to mention that $H^{-\delta}$ and $Y^{+\delta}$ behave not as acceptor and donor of protons, but as a typical base-acid pair (GRABOWSKI, 2020b). Thus, the great appeal is dedicated to the negative character of $H^{-\delta}$, what is often observed in the 'beryllium bonds' formed by beryllium hydride (BeH₂) (YÁÑEZ et al., 2009) and earth-alkaline ions Li⁺, Na⁺, and Mg²⁺ (GRABOWSKI; SOKALS-KIC; LESZCZYNSKI, 2006). This is not a merely revolutionary interaction at some extent, but the presence of metals leads to an extreme complexity of ideas. In addition, another dismember chemical contact formed by earth-metal alkaline is the 'lithium bond' (LIU et al., 2019; SANNIGRAHI et al., 1990), which plays a key role in very interesting studies reported recently (CHEN et al., 2020).

The hydrogen atom action within the context of the intermolecular interactions should be necessarily revisited, once its contact with metal mediated by a carbon atom yields the so-called agostic bond (BROOKHART; GREEN; PARKIN, 2007). By taking into account the content of the IUPAC gold book in this regard, we must think in a multifaceted chemical interaction understood as 'to clasp or hold to oneself' (IUPAC, 2019).

In an overview, it is natural that the agostic bond formed by a hydrogen covalently bonded to both a carbon and transition metal atom occurs. However, a redefinition to agostic bond whose title is "distortion of an organometallic moiety which brings an appended C–H bond into close proximity with the metal center" has been reported by (SCHERER; MCGRADY, 2004). At last, it can be quoted a lot of possibilities to intermolecular interaction in regular conditions through the contact of molecular and/or ionic subunits (MEOT-NER, 2005; SCHNEIDER, 2022). In a purely contradictory interaction, halogen bound to carbon groups present a positive profile by which interact with hydride compounds in a stable way. This kind of interaction is so-called halogen-hydride bond (JABŁOŃSKI; PALUSIAK, 2012; LI *et al.*, 2010).

In a statement of Rzepa (2009), the importance of being bonded is the benchmark of the modern chemistry, and in the intermolecular context, the number of interactions is ever increasing beyond the conventional vision hitherto known (FABBRIZZI, 2022; GOYMER, 2012; VLADILO; HASSANALI, 2018). In this sense, a recent work elaborated by Alkorta, Elguero and Frontera (2020) clarified the magnitude of the non-covalent interactions world in all types and sub-types studied so far. In this sense, one of the commented interactions is the chalcogen bond, which in according to Vogel, Wonner and Huber (2019) it can be defined as formed by the contact between a positive chalcogen element and a Lewis base, and actually this statement can be extended to the elements belonging to the 16th family of the periodic table.

The origin of the chalcogen bonds O···S, O···Se and S···S has been studied by Pascoe, Ling and Cockroft (2017), wherein the charge density receptor center possesses a ρ -hole that is fundamentally found in halogen bonds (MURRAY *et al.*, 2007). In other words, due to the interaction between the lone pairs of electrons and the positive ρ -hole or even with the ρ^* anti-bonding orbital, amazingly, the chalcogen bond strength resembles or outweighs to that of other conventional interactions (DONG; LI; SCHEINER, 2018).

The chalcogen bond has been explored by many authors (VARADWAJ; VARADWAJ; MARQUES, 2020), and indeed, the strong character of this interaction has been consolidated (HABERHAUER; GLEITER, 2020).

Giving continuity to the other groups of the periodic table, in particular the nitrogen family also well-known as 15 group, another kind of intermolecular interaction was established, namely as pnictogen bond (MAHMU-DOV *et al.*, 2020; SANTOS *et al.*, 2021; WYSOKIN-SKI *et al.*, 2020). Among all interaction known already commented here and other ones to be revisited subsequently, the pnictogen bond is a classical non-covalent type (VARADWAJ *et al.*, 2022a). From the systems hitherto studied (OLIVEIRA, 2014), mainly those ones represented as $PH_3 \cdots NFH_2$, $H_3CPH_2 \cdots H_3CNH_2$, and $H_2FP\cdots$ H₃CNH₂ and H₃CPH₂...NFH₂, all of them exhibit a median interaction strength (DEL BENE *et al.*, 2011). Similar to halogen bonds, the electrostatic ρ -hole effect can also be evidenced upon the formation of pnictogen bonds in systems derived from arsenic bound with unsaturated structures (VARADWAJ *et al.*, 2022b). As an indispensable citation, this interaction was also recognized as a new noncovalent force (SCHEINER, 2011).

The tetrel bond is another singular intermolecular interaction whose structure is composed by the elements group 14 or the carbon family (SCHEINER, 2019). In recent publication Scheiner (2021) has elected the basic principles of the tetrel bond as being the vacancy of orbitals, electrostatic potential and electronic density (BAUZÁ; SETH; FRONTERA, 2019) in order to discuss the capability to form supramolecular structures depending of this interaction, although regardless the interaction strength, the carbon has been included as a special participant on tetrel bonds between N-Methylacetamide and the FHSCH₂⁺ cation (SCHEINER, 2021), for instance. Very interesting is the fact of strong tetrel bonds are formed by cations (ESRAFILI; MOUSAVIAN, 2018), and, specifically, the cation- π centers have already been validated in this context (ESRAFILI; MOHAMMADIAN-SABET, 2016).

Because cannot be fail to mention, the π clouds with sp^2 -hybridization allows to arises the π -hole, by which become susceptible to carbon interact with nucleophilic species (WANG *et al.*, 2019). From the elements of the 13th group, called of boron family, Grabowski (2014) another kind of intermolecular interaction titled of triel bonds was established. Similar to halogen and tetrel bonds, the triel requires the existence of the π -hole to interact with Lewis bases, wherein, the molecules constituted by the B, Al and Ga elements are the corresponding acids. In BF₃ structure, for instance, the empty *p*-orbital perpendicularly aligned to the molecular plane yields a π -hole (GRABOWSKI, 2020c).

One of the main characteristics of the triel bonds is the hypervalent profile due to the vacancy of electrons (KARACHI; ESKANDARI, 2021), and thereby, the systems formed by this interaction are so strongly stabilized to the extent that can be classify them as highly covalent (WANG *et al.*, 2021). It can be cited the very short triel bond distance in range of 1.55-1.63 Å and interaction energies reaching values of up to -100 KJ.mol⁻¹ or higher (GRABOWSKI, 2020c), such as is known for the BI₃…NCH and BCl₃…NH₃ complexes. In a recent innovation, the elements of the 10, 11 and 12 groups Alkorta, Elguero and Frontera (2020) were also involved in intermolecular studies with formation of stable structures (BAUZÁ *et al.*, 2020). Notably, the unsaturated centers are also presents with respect to the interaction make up from the elements of the 10 and 11 groups, i.e., the regium- π bonds (PIÑA; FRONTERA; BAUZÁ, 2020).

At a high-level computational level, the regium bond strength was evaluated theory through a comparison with hydrogen bonds in a same contextualization (SÁNCHEZ-SANZ *et al.*, 2019) with HF, HNC, HCl and H₂O linking with gold. Indeed, by using a highly sophisticate *ab ini-tio* level of theory, Wang *et al.* (2021) carried out a full study of Au…P and Au…N regium bonds in systems with cis/trans geometries, and, in fact, annunciating the importance of these interactions to elucidate the most stable configuration.

In another point of view and besides the region bond, it was demonstrated that gold also forms hydrogen bond in the form of Au…H in cluster structures (BAKAR *et al.*, 2017) as well as in model systems (SCHMIDBAUR; RAUBENHEIMER; DOBRZAŃSKA, 2014).

Through the elements belonging to the 12 group, i.e., zinc, cadmium, mercury and copernicium, it is from them that the spodium bonds has appeared (BISWAL et al., 2021; PIÑA; FRONTERA; BAUZÁ, 2020). In small systems, Xia, Li and Cheng (2020) presented a theoretical study of the HgCl₂...O(CH₃)₂, HgCl₂...(CH₃)₂, HgCl₂...Se(CH₃)₂ and HgCl₂...Te(CH₃)₂ spodium dimers whose concluding remarks pointed out the presence of coulombic effects and existence of covalent interactions. Lastly, the extreme right placed family of the periodic table, in which holds the inert gases, these elements also have contributed to the cuttingedge world of the intermolecular interactions. Just like also formerly dedicated to pnictogen bond (SANTOS et al., 2021), the interaction engaged with inert gases nominated as aerogen bond, it was also considered as a new force in the molecular environment (BAUZÁ; FRON-TERA, 2015).

According to Zierkiewicz, Michalczyk and Scheiner (2018), the aerogen bond was studied in systems formed by xenon and kryptonium in contact with diazines. From this same research group, it has been shown that the aerogen bond may be widely dynamic by forming interactions with chalcogen (WANG *et al.*, 2020) and in the anionic forms (GRABARZ *et al.*, 2021). As pictured in Figure 1, the intermolecular interactions cover a much part of the elements of the periodic table.

Development and discussion

Theoretical methods vs intermolecular interactions: the turning point in the non-bonded chemistry

From the large set of theoretical methods often used for studying of intermolecular systems (JABŁOŃSKI, 2020), and even so as it has been slightly argued early here, the use of SAPT decomposition calculations have an enormously impact (DUARTE; ANGELINA; PERUCHENA, 2014; SZALEWICZ, 2012).

For the whole group of intermolecular interactions cited throughout this review, of course that QTAIM integrations jointly with the SAPT decomposition calculations shall display an accurate elucidation of the intermolecular phenomenology (BANKIEWICZ; MATCZAK; PALUSIAK, 2012; JIMÉNEZ-GRÁVALOS; SUÁREZ, 2021).

In couple of last decades, the maority contribution of the electrostatic potential to form the hydrogen boundedcomplexes has been authenticated (HOJA; SAX; SZA-LEWICZ, 2014; UMEYAMA; MOROKUMA, 1977). In a provocative work, Hoja, Sax and Szalewicz (2014) argument that only the electrostatic potential is not enough to stabilize hydrogen-bonded dimers, and this statement is in line with the findings of Emamian et al. (2019), wherein the dispersion is also intrinsically participative in association with the electrostatic effect in neutral and anionic conditions, whereby, for the last one, the charge transfer also manifests very forcefully. Moreover, the induction term shows a satisfactory correlation with QTAIM parameters (GRABOWSKI, 2011), namely as the ratios between the sum of the potential and kinetic electronic energy, $H_{(r)}$, and electronic density, $\rho_{(r)}$, by taking into account a measurement of the covalent character. This leads to a recognition of the interaction strength, from weak up to strongly bound, and in practice, it impacts in other parameters, such as the vibrational frequency shifts (OLIVEIRA, 2017), for instance. The nature of the dihydrogen bond interaction appraised at the light of the SAPT scheme was consolidated by Hong and Chen (2007). Complexes formed by beryllium and monoprotic acids present interaction energies with values reaching up to -14.46 KJ.mol⁻¹, which with positive results for the Laplacian of the electronic density, the values of the $E_{elst}^{\left(10\right)}$ and $E_{ind}^{\left(20\right)}$ are negatives, although the repulsion outweigh separately these two ones.

The study of formation of halogen bonds conditioned to the SAPT analysis was orientated by Hill (2014), when studied the context of small heterocyclic structures.



Figure 1 – Periodic table of elements and the indication of the intermolecular interactions.

Source: The author.

A comparison between hydrogen and halogen bonds was primarily stated, and either through the interaction between thiirane (C₂H₄S) or oxirane (C₂H₄O) with chlorine fluoride (ClF) or hydrochloric acid (HCl), the halogen bonds are more stable than hydrogen bonds with certain considerations, in particular whenever the C₂H₄O···ClF and C₂H₄O···HCl complexes are cited. Even the C₂H₄S···ClF presenting the higher interaction energy with the value of -55.35 KJ.mol⁻¹, the SAPT examination shows that the Eexc is excessively overestimated.

Well, the interaction energy is often high, and as such, the contribution of the dispersion is minima in all systems. Since the interaction strength even could be overestimated in these complexes, the electrostatic contribution is roughly higher than the inductive, by which, as is widely known, the expectation by the appearing of intermolecular covalent character could be clearly realised. In other words, no arguments to assure the presence of covalence. In other aspect, however, as in a theoretical study developed by Riley and Hobza (2008) involving halohydrocarbons and formaldehyde with formation of halogen bonds, it was demonstrated that the inductive effect is the smallest attractive contribution, whereas the dispersion accounts the highest one. It can be seen, this is a scenario quite distinct from that documented by Hill (2014), and in addition, the repulsive exchange outweighs all SAPT contributions, either electrostatic or inductive and even the dispersive.

One of the foundational prerequisites to form halogen bonding is the characterization of the ρ -hole (IBRAHIM; MOUSSA, 2020; MURRAY *et al.*, 2007; NZIKO; SCHEINER, 2016; STENLID; JOHANSSON; BRINCK, 2018; WANG *et al.*, 2019), and based in a QTAIM study signed by Syzgantseva, Tognetti and Joubert (2013), besides the Br···O halogen bond in the CH₂CHBr···OCH₂ complex, a secondary interaction between the bromine and hydrogen was also cogitated, and therefore, a new cyclic structure would be formed among Br-O-C-H. In fact, the Br···H hydrogen bond was validated upon the formation of a Bond Critical Point (BCP) (BADER, 1991, 2001, 2009; BADER; NGUYEN-DANG, 1981) along the nuclear axis between bromine and hydrogen, and besides, this interaction is less stable than prime halogen bond because the electrostatic interaction is much higher in Br···O. This can be understood in terms of the ρ -hole emerged on the electronic structure of the bromine, what may lead to a certain repulsion with the hydrogen.

Still with respect to heterocyclics, although treating the formation of chalcogen bonds in these structures and submitting them to the SAPT calculations, Haberhauer and Gleiter (2020) have studied the supermolecular complexes formed by the tellurium involving aromatics compounds. The SAPT analysis has no evidenced any significance of the electrostatic or induction energies, and exclusively, the steric repulsion is that rules the formation of strong chalcogen bonds hitherto observed. By taking into account the SAPT formalism, the steric repulsion conditionates the exchange energy, E¹_{exch}, and this term represent nothing less than the Pauli repulsion (RACKERS; PONDER, 2019), and in the tellurium complexes, the E_{exch}^1 , varies in close dependence with the dihedral angles. Very important, the contribution of E²_{exch}, is prominent in strong chalcogen interactions, whereas in weak ones, the electrostatic is that dominates and drives the intermolecular orientation (HABERHAUER; GLEITER, 2020).

In another set of systems, e.g., $F_2O\cdots NH_3$, $Cl_2O\cdots NP$ and $FNCO\cdots N_2$, Varadwaj (2019) showed that weak or strong chalcogen complexes are merely stabilized with domain of the exchange repulsion. The QTAIM intermolecular BCPs of the O…N and O…O chalcogen bonds contains small charge density concentration with positive Laplacian, as it expected for. In pnictogen bonds, the SAPT analysis was carried out with a multiple comparison with halogen and chalcogen bonds (TRUJILLO et al., 2015). The systems, more precisely the following homodimers (FNO₂)₂, (ClNO₂)₂, (BrNO₂)₂ and (INO₂)₂, all of them yield several structures with formation not only of pnictogen bonds, but also halogen bonds. In the best of our knowledge, here is devoted attention to the systems formed exclusively by pnictogen bonds, in which the exchange energy separately stands out among the remaining ones. Nevertheless, even the results of dispersion overcoming the electrostatic term, it is justly with the association in between them that the attractive force to stabilize the supermolecule is consolidated.

For these set oof complexes, the QTAIM investigation display the results of electronic density and its corresponding Laplacian. According to that and as is widely known (BADER, 1991; GRABOWSKI, 2011), the framework of the pnictogen bonds is of closed-shell type due to the positive values of the Laplacian and small charge density amounts. Moreover, because the intermolecular distances varying softly, the QTAIM charge density remains essentially unchanged.

The tetrel bonds has been also undergone to the SAPT analysis. In a series of complexes of FCN···NH3, CICN ... NH3, BrCN ... NH3 and ICN ... NH3 (NZIKO; SCHEINER, 2016), the tetrel bonds is aligned with π hole of the carbon-halogen bond. For all of these systems, the exchange energy is dominant followed by inductions and electrostatic, which match up one another. A close look to the strongest bonded complex, the Eexch reaches up to 13.30 Kcal.mol⁻¹ as well as the Eelst and E^{ind} which represent -6.52 and -6.03 Kcal.mol⁻¹, respectively. In terms of intermolecular distances, the values often exceed the 3.0 Å, what is typical of weak contact. The N···C tetrel bonds were confirmed by the QTAIM modeling with the identification of BCPs and bond paths of charge density between nitrogen and carbon.

A remarkable point concerning to all BCPs was registered, wherein directly the authors affirm that this topological parameter cannot guarantees of existence of such interaction (NZIKO; SCHEINER, 2016), even if is covalent or non-covalent. For the next interaction, the triel bonds, it can cite the report of Yourdkhani, Korona and Hadipour (2015) that handles about a comparison with tetrel bonds through the SAPT and QTAIM calculations. Directly among a large sort of systems studied, the triel bonds are existent within the SiF₃CN···BF3 and GeF₃CN···BF3 complexes while the tetrel bonds in C₆H₅CN···SiF3CN and C₆H₅CN···GeF₃CN ones. Of course, by the discrepancy of structures, i.e., the C₆H₅CN cyclic, the SAPT values are enormously distinct in magnitude.

Firstly, and in accordance with the structural and energetic results, the N···B triel bond lengths are 2.481 (SiF₃CN···BF₃) and 2.514 Å (GeF₃CN···BF₃), which are in agreement with the values of the interaction energies of -22.70 and -20.34 KJ.mol⁻¹, respectively. For the strongest bonded complexes being formed by tetrel bonds, the shorter length values of 2.271 and 2.222 Å for the N···Si and N···Ge interactions points out that, C₆H₅CN···SiF₃CN and C₆H₅CN···GeF3CN respectively, whose results of the interaction energies are -69.60 and -88.48 KJ.mol⁻¹, these systems are much more strongly bound rather than those ones formed by triel bonds.

From SAPT results, indeed this statement is confirmed because the induction energies values of -210.07 (C₆H₅CN…SiF₃CN) and -411.92 KJ.mol⁻¹ (C₆H₅CN…GeF₃CN) are greater than the repulsive exchange amounts of 196.75 and 238.41 KJ.mol⁻¹, respectively. As is widely known and stablished in the specialized literature (OLIVEIRA; ARAÚJO, 2012; UMEYAMA; MOROKUMA, 1977), the SAPT inductive contribution is responsible for the trend to appears the covalency (GRABOWSKI, 2011), and an opposite behavior is observed in the triel-bonded complexes, wherein the Eexch values of 48.54 and 43.23 KJ.mol⁻¹ have overcome the induction energies of -29.43 and -25.48 KJ.mol⁻¹ of the SiF₃CN…BF3 and GeF₃CN…BF3 complexes respectively. Note that, it was only in these last two systems that the electrostatic character stood out. The QTAIM analysis revealed a partial covalent character for the tetrel complexes, C₆H₅CN…SiF₃CN and C₆H₅CN…GeF₃CN, while the triel ones are closedshell structures with full absence of any trend of covalency.

To treat the remaining groups of the periodic table under the intermolecular context, and remarkably with appreciation by SAPT and QTAIM calculations, firstly it may be cited the work of Bauzá and Frontera (2018) about regium- π bonds formed between substituted aromatic rings and AgCl. It is, then, the systems of C₆H₆...AgCl, C₆H₃F₃...AgCl and C₆F₆...AgCl undergone to SAPT and QTAIM analyses.

Initially, the introduction of another computational methods, namely Natural Bond Orbitals (NBO) (GLEN-DENING; LANDIS; WEINHOLD, 2012; WEINHOLD; LANDIS; GLENDENING, 2016), the NBO $E^{(2)}$ energy results of 7.0, 3.52 and 4.04 Kcal.mol⁻¹ indicate a sequential interaction strength as follows C_6H_6 ...AgCl > $C6F6\cdots AgCl > C_6H_3F_3\cdots AgCl$. Even with the Eexch contribution dominating the electronic partition, e.g., the value of 59.4 Kcal.mol⁻¹ for C6H6...AgCl, it is through the electrostatic energy value of -39.4 Kcal.mol⁻¹ that the most stable profile of this complex is justified. Moreover, it can be seen that the dispersion energy is slightly manifested and the induction is moderately participative. The QTAIM topology located BCPs that bind the silver atoms to each carbon of the aromatic ring, and in all paths, the Laplacian is positive as typical for closed-shell for non-covalent interactions.

Hereinafter, the hydrogen and halogen bonds conjointly in systems of $ZnCO_3\cdots$ HF and $ZnCO_3\cdots$ F₂ were theoretically examined by Yang *et al.* (2022), and properly, how these interactions are influenced by spodium bonds dignify this research even more. From classical intermolecular parameters, the interaction energy values of -11.27 and -1.80 Kcal.mol⁻¹ reveal how relatively much strong is the ZnCO₃…HF complex, and of course, this is due to its hydrogen bond rather than the halogen bond of ZnCO₃…F₂. Because the increase of the H–F bond length is larger to that computed to F–F, amazingly, the vibrational red-shifts are not in line with this scenario because these bonds oscillate in up to -488.4 and -910.6 cm⁻¹, respectively.

From SAPT results, the electrostatic energy of -18.53 Kcal.mol⁻¹ of the hydrogen bond (ZnCO₃…HF) is much greater than the corresponding value for the halogen bond (ZnCO₃…F₂). Due to this, not surprisingly, the total interaction energy with hydrogen fluoride is higher to that of fluorine upon the formation of the spodium bond in the trimer with hydrogen cyanide.

From NBO calculations, the $E^{(2)}$ results of 16.33 (ZnCO₃...HF) and 0.09 Kcal.mol⁻¹ (ZnCO₃...F₂) increasing up to 30.66 and 0.11 Kcal.mol⁻¹ unrestrainedly prove the influence of the spodium bond. Ideally, and no contestations else, the results of low QTAIM charge density followed by the positive Laplacian show that all interactions wither hydrogen and halogen bonds are closed-shell interactions. The ultimate contact, the aerogen bond, and reciting the work of Zierkiewicz, Michalczyk and Scheiner (2018), the Kr...N and Xe...N aerogen bonds possess a massive contribution of the Pauli's repul-

sion, being it unquestionably, the greatest one. The others, mainly the electrostatic, that is the second more important energy is followed by the orbital interaction. Regarding the dispersion energy, it accounts the smaller participation. About the QTAIM applied for the Kr…N and Xe…N aerogen bonds, the non-covalent character was testified in all topological criteria known ever.

Conclusions

The state-of-the-art of the non-covalent interaction world was revisited. Among the eighteen groups of the periodic table (CHAVERRI, 1953; GIUNTA; MAINZ; GIROLAMI, 2021; SCERRI, 2012), it is known that elements of ten of these ones can form intermolecular models, whose definitions were reviewed at the light of the most modern quantum computational approaches. On the basis in the energetic context, the strength of these interactions is broadly varied with distinct contributions of electrostatic, induction, and in some cases, the repulsive exchange energy. With respect to the QTAIM topography, really is demonstrated that hydrogen bonds, dihydrogen bonds, halogen bonds, chalcogen bonds, pnictogen bonds, tetrel bonds, triel bonds, regium bonds, spodium bonds and aerogen bonds are essentially non-covalent interactions.

In view of the most of what is known about the properties of the elements of the periodic table, a great part of them behaves suitable to form intermolecular interactions with a single and authentic non-covalent requisite.

References

ABRAHAM, M. H.; GRELLIER, P. L.; PRIOR, D. V.; MORRIS, J. J.; TAYLOR*, P. J. Hydrogen bonding. Part 10. A scale of solute hydrogen-bond basicity using log *K* values for complexation in tetrachloromethane. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2*, Cambridge, n. 4, p. 521-529, 1990. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/P29900000521.

ADHIKARY, R.; ZIMMERMANN, J.; LIU, J.; FOR-REST, R. P.; JANICKI, T. D.; DAWSON, P. E.; COR-CELLI, S. A.; ROMESBERG*, F. E. Evidence of an unusual N–H…N hydrogen bond in proteins. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, Washington, v. 136, p. 13474-13477, 2014. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja503107h. ALKORTA, I.; ELGUERO, J.; FRONTERA, A. Not only hydrogen bonds: other noncovalent interactions. *Crystals*, Basel, v. 10, p. 180-209, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/cryst10030180.

ARUNAN, E.; DESIRAJU, G. R.; KLEIN, R. A.; SADLEJ, J.; SCHEINER, S.; ALKORTA, I.; CLARY, D. C.; CRABTREE, R. H.; DANNENBERG, J. J.; HOBZA, P.; KJAERGAARD, H. G.; LEGON, A. C.; MENNUCCI, B.; NESBITT, D. J. Definition of the hydrogen bond (IUPAC Recommendations 2011). *Pure Applied Chemistry*, Oxford, v. 83, p. 1637-1641, 2011. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1351/PAC-REC-10-01-02.

AYERS, P. W.; FRENKING, G. Richard Bader (1931–2012). *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, Weinheim, v. 51, n. 19, p. 4521-4522, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201201794.

BADER, R. F. W. A quantum theory of molecular structure and its applications. *Chemical Reviews*, Washington, v. 91, n. 5, p. 893-928, 1991. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00005a013.

BADER, R. F. W. Bond paths are not chemical bonds, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Washington, v. 113, n. 38, p. 10391-10396, 2009. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jp906341r.

BADER, R. F. W. On the non-existence of parallel universes in chemistry. *Foundation of Chemistry*, [Dordrecht], v. 13, p. 11-37, 2011. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10698-011-9106-0.

BADER, R. F. W. The zero-flux surface and the topological and quantum definitions of an atom in a molecule. *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts*, Berlin, v. 105, p. 276-283, 2001. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s002140000233.

BADER, R. F. W.; HERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO, J.; CORTÉS-GUZMÁN, F. Chemical bonding: From Lewis to atoms in molecules. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, New York, v. 28, p. 4-14, 2007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20528.

BADER, R. F. W.; NGUYEN-DANG, T. T. Quantum theory of atoms in molecules–Dalton revisited. *Advances in Quantum Chemistry*, [London], v. 14, p. 63-124, 1981. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3276(08)60326-3.

BAKAR, M. A.; SUGIUCHI, M.; IWASAKI, M.; SHICHIBU, Y.; KONISHI*, K. Hydrogen bonds to Au atoms in coordinated gold clusters. *Nature communications*, [London], v. 8, p. 1-7, 2017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00720-3.

BANKIEWICZ, B.; MATCZAK, P.; PALUSIAK, M. Electron density characteristics in Bond Critical Point (QTAIM) versus interaction energy components (sapt): the case of charge-assisted hydrogen bonding. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Washington, v. 116, p. 452-459, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jp210940b.

BAUZÁ, A.; ALKORTA, I.; ELGUERO, J.; MOOIBROEK*, T. J.; FRONTERA, A. Spodium Bonds: Noncovalent interactions involving group 12 elements. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, Weinheim, v. 59, p. 17482-17487, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202007814.

BAUZÁ, A.; FRONTERA, A. Aerogen bonding interaction: a new supramolecular force? *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, Weinheim, v. 54, p. 7340-7343, 2015. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201502571.

BAUZÁ, A.; FRONTERA, A. Regium– π vs. cation– π interactions in M₂ and MCl (M = Cu, Ag and Au) complexes with small aromatic systems: An *ab ini-tio* study. *Inorganics*, Basel, v. 6, p. 64-74, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/inorganics6030064.

BAUZÁ, A.; SETH, K. S.; FRONTERA, A. Tetrel bonding interactions at work: Impact on tin and lead coordination compounds. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, Lausanne, v. 384, p. 107-125, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2019.01.003.

BIEGLER-KÖNIG, F. W.; BADER, R. F. W.; TANG, T. -H. Calculation of the average properties of atoms in molecules. II. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, New York, v. 3, p. 317-328, 1982. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.540030306.

BISWAL, H. S.; SAHU, A. K.; FRONTERA, A.; BAUZÁ*, A. Spodium bonds in biological systems: expanding the role of Zn in protein structure and function. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*. Washington, v. 61, p. 3945-3954, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.1c00594. BROOKHART, M.; GREEN, M. L. H.; PARKIN, G. Agostic interactions in transition metal compounds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Washington, v. 104, p. 6908-6914, 2007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0610747104.

CARVALHO, L. C.; BUENO, M. A.; OLIVEIRA, B. G. The interplay and strength of the π ···H–F, C···H–F, F···H–F and F···H–C hydrogen bonds upon the formation of multimolecular complexes based on C₂H₂···HF and C2H4···HF small dimers. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A*: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, Amsterdam, v. 213, p. 438-455, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2019.01.004.

CAVALLO, G.; METRANGOLO, P.; MILANI, R.; PILATI, T.; PRIIMAGI, A.; RESNATI*, G.; TER-RANEO, G. The halogen bond. *Chemical Reviews*, Washington, v. 116, p. 2478-2601, 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00484.

CHAŁASIŃSKI, G.; SZCZĘŚNIAK, M. M. State of the art and challenges of the *ab initio* theory of intermolecular interactions. *Chemical Reviews*, Washington, v. 100, p. 4227-4252, 2000. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/cr990048z.

CHAVERRI, G. R. The periodic table of elements. *Journal* of Chemical Education, Tucson, v. 30, p. 632-633, 1953. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ed030p632.

CHEN, X.; BAI, Y.-K.; ZHAO, C.-Z.; SHEN, X.; ZHANG*, Q. Lithium bonds in lithium batteries. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, Weinheim, v. 59, p. 11192-11195, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201915623.

CHENG, T.; SHEN, D. X.; MENG, M.; MALLICK, S.; CAO, L.; PATMORE, N. J.; ZHANG, H. L.; ZOU, S. F.; CHEN, H. W.; QIN, Y.; WU, Y. Y.; LIU*, C. Y. Efficient electron transfer across hydrogen bond interfaces by proton-coupled and -uncoupled pathways. *Nature Communications*, [London], v. 10, p. 1-10, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09392-7.

CHOPRA, D. Advances in understanding of chemical bonding: inputs from experimental and theoretical charge density analysis. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Washington, v. 116, p. 9791-9801, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jp306169f.

CRABTREE, R. H. Dihydrogen complexation. *Chemical Reviews*, Washington, v. 116, p. 8750-8769, 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00037.

CRABTREE, R. H. Hydrogen bonding & dihydrogen bonding. *Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry*, [Georgia]: Wiley, 2011. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119951438.eibc0267.

DEL BENE, J. E.; ALKORTA, I.; SANCHEZ-SANZ, G.; ELGUERO, J. Structures, energies, bonding, and nmr properties of pnicogen complexes H₂XP:NXH₂ (X = H, CH₃, NH₂, OH, F, Cl). *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Washington, v. 115, p. 13724-13731, 2011. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jp2094164.

DESIRAJU, G. R. A bond by any other name. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, Weinheim, v. 50, p. 52-59, 2011. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201002960.

DIXIT, V. A.; RATHI, P. C.; BHARATAM, P. V. Intramolecular dihydrogen bond: A new perspective in Lewis acid catalyzed nucleophilic epoxide ring opening reaction. *Journal of Molecular Structure*, Amsterdam, v. 962, p. 97-100, 2010. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2010.09.020.

DONG, W.; LI, Q.; SCHEINER, S. Comparative strengths of tetrel, pnicogen, chalcogen, and halogen bonds and contributing factors. *Molecules*, Basel, v. 23, p. 1681-1698, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23071681.

DUARTE, D. J. R.; ANGELINA, E. L.; PERUCHENA, N. M. Physical meaning of the QTAIM topological parameters in hydrogen bonding. *Journal of Molecular Modeling*, Berlin, v. 20, p. 2510-2519, 2014. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00894-014-2510-3.

EMAMIAN, S.; LU, T.; KRUSE, H.; EMAMIAN, H. Exploring nature and predicting strength of hydrogen bonds: a correlation analysis between atoms-in-molecules descriptors, binding energies, and energy components of symmetry-adapted perturbation theory. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, New York, v. 40, p. 2868-2881, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.26068.

ESRAFILI, M. D.; MOUSAVIAN, P. Strong tetrel bonds: theoretical aspects and experimental evidence, *Molecules*, Basel, v. 23, p. 2642-2661, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23102642.

ESRAFILI, M. D.; MOHAMMADIAN-SABET, F. Tuning tetrel bonds via cation– π interactions: an *ab initio* study on concerted interaction in M⁺–C₆H₅XH₃–NCY complexes (M = Li, Na, K; X = Si, Ge; Y = H, F, OH). *Molecular Physics*, London, v. 114, p. 83-91, 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2015.1086498.

EVANS, R. C. The structure of atomic nuclei. *Journal* of Chemical Education, Tucson, v. 19, p. 549-550, 1942. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ed019p549.

FABBRIZZI, L. Beyond the molecule: intermolecular forces from gas liquefaction to X-H \cdots π hydrogen bonds. *ChemPlusChem*, Weinheim, v. 87, p. e202100243-e202100265, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202100243.

FARRUGIA, L. J.; EVANS, C.; LENTZ, D.; ROE-MER, M. The QTAIM approach to chemical bonding between transition metals and carbocyclic rings: a combined experimental and theoretical study of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Mn(CO)_3$, $(\eta^6-C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3$, and (E)-($\eta^5-C_5H_4)CF=CF(\eta^5-C_5H_4)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Fe_2$. Journal of the American Chemical Society, Washington, v. 131, p. 1251-1268, 2009. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja808303j.

FILGUEIRAS, C. A. L. Duzentos anos da teoria atômica de Dalton. *Química Nova na Escola*, São Paulo, n. 20, p. 38-44, 2007. DOI: http://qnesc.sbq.org.br/online/qnesc20/v20a07.pdf.

FILGUEIRAS, C. A. L. Gilbert Lewis and the centennial of the electron pair theory of chemical bonding. *Química Nova*, São Paulo, v. 39, p. 1262-1268, 2016. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.21577/0100-4042.20160171.

FRONTERA, A.; BAUZÁ, A. Biological halogen bonds in protein–ligand complexes: a combined QTAIM and NCIPlot study in four representative cases. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*, Cambridge, v. 19, p. 6858-6864, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/D10B01212F.

FULTZ, B. The atomic origins of thermodynamics and kinetics. In: FULTZ, B. Phase **Transitions** in Materials. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014. 125-126. p. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107589865.008.

GARCIA, J.; PODESZWA, R.; SZALEWICZ, K. SAPT: codes for calculations of intermolecular interaction energies. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, New York, v. 152, p. 184109-184132, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0005093. GILLESPIE, R. J. The valence-shell electron-pair repulsion (VSEPR) theory of directed valency. *Journal of Chemical Education*, Tucson, v. 40, p. 295-295, 1963. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ed040p295.

GILLESPIE, R. J.; POPELIER, P. L. A. Chemical bonding and molecular geometry: comments on a book review, a bond by any other name. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, Weinheim, v. 42, p. 3331-3334, 2003. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200320066.

GILLESPIE, R. J.; ROBINSON, E. A. Gilbert N. Lewis and the chemical bond: The electron pair and the octet rule from 1916 to the present day. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, New York, v. 28, p. 87-97, 2007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20545.

GIMENO, M. C.; HERRERA, R .P. Hydrogen bonding and internal or external Lewis or Brønsted acid assisted (thio)urea catalysts. *European Journal of Organic Chemistry*, Weinheim, v. 2020, p. 1057-1068, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201901344.

GIUNTA, C. J.; MAINZ, V. V.; GIROLAMI, G. S. 150 *Years of the Periodic Table*; a commemorative symposium. [*S. l.*]: Springer, 2021.

GLENDENING, E. D.; LANDIS, C. R.; WEINHOLD, F. Natural bond orbital methods. *WIRES Computational Molecular Science*, Hoboken, v. 2, p. 1-42, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.51.

GODDARD III, W. A.; DUNNING JUNIOR, T. H.; HUNT, W. J.; HAY, P. J. Generalized valence bond description of bonding in low-lying states of molecules. *Accounts of Chemical Research*, Washington, v. 6, p. 368-376, 1973. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ar50071a002.

GOYMER, P. 100 years of the hydrogen bond. *Nature Chemistry*, London, v. 4, p. 863-864, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1482.

GRABARZ, MICHALCZYK, M.; ZIER-A.; W.; SCHEINER*, S. KIEWICZ*, Anion-anion interactions in aerogen-bonded influence of solvent environment. complexes. Molecules, Basel, v. 26, p. 2116-2129, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26082116.

GRABOWSKI, S. J. Boron and other triel Lewis acid centers: from hypovalency to hypervalency. *ChemPhysChem*, Weinheim, v. 15, p. 2985-2993, 2014. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201402344.

GRABOWSKI, S. J. [FHF]⁻—The strongest hydrogen bond under the influence of external interactions. *Crystals*, Basel, v. 6, p. 3-19; 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/cryst6010003.

GRABOWSKI, S. J. Hydrogen bond – definitions, criteria of existence and various types. *In*: GRABOWSKI, S. J. *Understanding hydrogen bonds*: theoretical and experimental views. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry, 2020. p. 1-40, 2020a. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/9781839160400-00001.

GRABOWSKI, S. J. Hydrogen Bond and other Lewis acid–Lewis base interactions as preliminary stages of chemical reactions. *Molecules*, Basel, v. 25, p. 4668-4676, 2020b. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25204668.

GRABOWSKI, S. J. Triel bond and coordination of triel centres: comparison with hydrogen bond interaction. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, Lausanne, v. 407, p. 213171-213190, 2020c. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2019.213171.

GRABOWSKI, S. J. What is the covalency of hydrogen bonding?. *Chemical Reviews*, Washington, v. 111, p. 2597-2625, 2011. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/cr800346f.

GRABOWSKI, S. J.; LIPKOWSKI, P. Characteristics of X-H $\cdots\pi$ Interactions: *Ab Initio* and QTAIM Studies. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Washington, v. 115, p. 4765-4773, 2011. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jp2005327.

GRABOWSKI, S. J.; SOKALSKIC, W. A.; LESZCZYN-SKI, J. Hydride bonding – |Ab initio studies of BeH₂…Li⁺, BeH₂…Na⁺ and BeH₂…Mg²⁺ model systems. *Chemical Physics Letters*, Amsterdam, v. 422, p. 334-339, 2006. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2006.01.120.

HABERHAUER, G.; GLEITER, R. The nature of strong chalcogen bonds involving chalcogen-containing heterocycles. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, Weinheim, v. 59, p. 21236-21243, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202010309.

HAPKA, M.; MODRZEJEWSKI, M.; CHAŁA-SIŃSKI, G.; SZCZĘŚNIAK*, M. M. Assessment of SAPT(DFT) with meta-GGA functionals. *Journal of Molecular Modeling*, Berlin, v. 26, p. 102-111, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00894-020-4340-9. HEINDEL, J. P.; KNODEL, E. S.; SCHOFIELD, D. P. Origin of many-body vibrational frequency shifts in water clusters. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Washington, v. 122, p. 6724-6735, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.8b04380.

HELLIWELL, J. R. Concerning the measurement of charge density X-ray diffraction data at synchrotron sources: challenges and opportunities. *Crystallography Reviews*, New York, v. 23, p. 238-251, 2017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/0889311X.2017.1295038.

HILL, J. G. The halogen bond in thiiraneClF: an example of a Mulliken inner complex. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, Cambridge, v. 16, p. 19137-19140, 2014. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP03412K.

HIRSHFELD, F. L. Bonded-atom fragments for describing molecular charge densities. *Theoretica Chimica Acta*, Berlin, v. 44, p. 129-138, 1977a. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00549096.

HIRSHFELD, F. L. XVII. Spatial partitioning of charge density. *Israel Journal of Chemistry*, Jerusalem, v. 16, p. 198-201, 1977b. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ijch.197700033.

HOJA, J.; SAX, A. F.; SZALEWICZ, K. Is electrostatics sufficient to describe hydrogenbonding interactions? *Chemistry an European Journal*, Tucker, v. 20, p. 2291-2230, 2014. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201303528.

HOLSTEIN, T. Studies of polaron motion: part I. the molecular-crystal model. *Annals of Physics*, New York, v. 8, p. 325-342, 1959. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(59)90002-8.

HONG; L.; CHEN, Y.-Q. Nature and structural property of dihydrogen-bond complexes of BeH₂ with hydrogen halides. *Acta Physico-Chimica Sinica*, Beijing, v. 23, p. 1974-1978, 2007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3866/PKU.WHXB20071227.

HUNTER, K. H.; RODRIGUEZ, J.-M. G. BECKER, M. N. A review of research on the teaching and learning of chemical bonding. *Journal of Chemical Education*, Tucson, v. 99, p. 2451-2464, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.2c00034. IBRAHIM, M. A. A.; MOUSSA, N. A. M. Unconventional type III halogen…halogen interactions: a quantum mechanical elucidation of ρ -hole… ρ -hole and di- ρ -hole interactions, *ACS Omega*, Washington,, v. 5, p. 21824-21835, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c02887.

IRIBARREN, I.; SÁNCHEZ-SANZ, G.; ALKORTA, I.; ELGUERO, J.; TRUJILLO*, C. Evaluation of electron density shifts in noncovalent interactions. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Washington, v. 125, p. 4741-4749, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.1c00830.

IUPAC. *Compendium of Chemical Terminology*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications, 2019. Available from: https://goldbook.iupac.org/terms/view/AT06984. Access in: Sept. 2022

JABŁOŃSKI, M. A critical overview of current theoretical methods of estimating the energy of intramolecular interactions, *Molecules, Basel*, v. 25, p. 5512-5548, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25235512.

JABŁOŃSKI, M. Does the presence of a bond path really mean interatomic stabilization? The case of the Ng@Superphane (Ng = He, Ne, Ar, and Kr) endohedral complexes. *Symmetry, Basel*, v. 13, p. 2241-2256, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13122241.

JABŁOŃSKI, M.; PALUSIAK, M. Nature of a hydride–halogen bond: a SAPT-, QTAIM, and NBO-based study, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Washington, v. 116, p. 2322-2332, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jp211606t.

JALILOV. A.; DEATS. S.: ALBUKHARI, M.; ZELLER, M.; ROSOKHA*, S. V. Intermolecular interactions between halogensubstituted p-benzoquinones and halide anions: anion- π complexes versus halogen bonding. ChemPhysChem, Weinheim, v. 85, p. 441-449, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202000012.

JEZIORSKI, B., MOSZYNSKI, R., SZALEWICZ, K. Perturbation theory approach to intermolecular potential energy surfaces of van der Waals complexes. *Chemical Reviews, Washington*, v. 94, p. 1887-1930, 1994. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00031a008.

JIMÉNEZ-GRÁVALOS, F.; SUÁREZ, D. A quantum chemical topology picture of intermolecular electrostatic interactions and charge penetration energy. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, Washington, v. 17, p. 4981-4995, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.1c00263.

KAMAL, D.; CHANDRASEKARAN, A.; BATRA, R.; RAMPRASAD*, R. A charge density prediction model for hydrocarbons using deep neural networks. *Machine Learning*: Science and Technology, [Bristol], v. 1, p. 025003-025015, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1088/2632-2153/ab5929.

KARACHI, S. S.; ESKANDARI, K. Boron triel bonds: a quantum chemical topology perspective. *ChemistrySelect*, Weinheim, v. 6, p. 12431-12439, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.202103002.

KELLETT, C. W.; KENNEPOHL, P.; BERLINGUETTE, C. P. π covalency in the halogen bond. *Nature Communications*, [London], v. 11, p. 1-8, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17122-7.

KOJIĆ-PRODIĆ, B.; MOLČANOV, K. The nature of hydrogen bond: new insights into old theories. *Acta Chimica Slovenica*, Ljubljana, v. 55, p. 692-708, 2008.

KURNIAWAN, O.; KOH, L. L. A.; CHENG, J. Z. M.; PEE, M. Helping students connect interdisciplinary concepts and skills in physical chemistry and introductory computing: solving schrödinger's equation for the hydrogen atom. *Journal of Chemical Education*, Tucson, v. 96, p. 2202-2207, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.9b00068.

LEWIS, G. N. The atom and the molecule. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, Washington, v. 38, p. 762-785, 1916. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja02261a002.

LI, Q.; YUAN, H.; JING, B.; LIU, Z.; LI, W.; CHENG, J.; GONG, B.; SUN, J. Theoretical study of halogen-hydride halogen bonds in F_3CL ···HM (L = Cl, Br; M = Li, BeH, MgH) complexes. *Molecular Physics*, London, v. 108, p. 611-617, 2010. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00268971003630703.

LIU, Y.; PENG, B.; WANG, X.; XIE, Y.; SCHAE-FER III*, H.F. The nature of lithium bonding in C2H2Li2, C6Li6, and lithium halide dimers. *Organometallics*, Washington, v. 38, p. 4708-4716, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.organomet.9b00683. LU, Y.-X.; ZOU, J.-W.; WANG, Y.-H.; YU. Q.-S. Substituent effects on noncovalent halogen/ π interactions: Theoretical study. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*, New York, v. 107, p. 1479-1486, 2007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.21279.

MACCHI, P. Modern charge density studies: the entanglement of experiment and theory. *Crystallography Reviews*, New York, v. 19, p. 58-101, 2013. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/0889311X.2013.785538.

MAGNUSSEN, O. M.; GRO β , A.; Toward an atomicscale understanding of electrochemical interface structure and dynamics. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, Washington, v. 141, p. 4777-4790, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b13188.

MAHMUDOV, K. T.; GURBANOV, A. V.; ALIYEV, V. A.; RESNATI, G.; POMBEIRO, A. J. L. Pnictogen bonding in coordination chemistry. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, Lausanne, v. 418, p. 213381-213394, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2020.213381.

MANSOOR, N. Foundations of modern atomic theory: Thomson, Rutherford, and Bohr. *In*: MANSOOR, N. *Critical Appraisal of Physical Science as a Human Enterprise*. Dordrecht: Springer Dordrecht, 2009. v. 36, p. 75-95. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9626-6_6.

MATTA, C. F. Special issue: Philosophical aspects and implications of the quantum theory of atoms in molecules (QTAIM). *Foundations of Chemistry*, [Dordrecht], v. 15, p. 245-251, 2013. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10698-013-9194-0.

MÁTYUS, E. Pre-Born–Oppenheimer molecular structure theory. Molecular Physics, Lon-590-609. 2019. DOI: don, v. 117, p. https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2018.1530461.

MEOT-NER, M(MAUTNER). The ionic hydrogen bond. *Chemical Reviews*, Washington, v. 105, p. 213-284, 2005. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/cr9411785.

MONDAL, A.; DATTA, S. Quantum mechanical electronic structure calculation reveals orientation dependence of hydrogen bond energy in proteins. *Proteins*, New York, v. 85, p. 1046-1055, 2017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.25271.

MURPHY, L. R.; MEEK, T. L.; ALLRED, A. L.; ALLEN*, L. C. Evaluation and test of Pauling's electronegativity scale. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Washington, v. 104, p. 5867-5871, 2000. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jp000288e.

MURRAY, J. S.; LANE, P.; CLARK, T.; POLITZER, P. *zrho*-hole bonding: molecules containing group VI atoms. *Journal of Molecular Modeling*, Berlin, v. 13, p. 1033-1038, 2007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00894-007-0225-4.

NÄTHER, C.; JESS, I.; JONES*, P. G.; TAOUSS, C.; TESCHMIT, N. Structural, thermodynamic, and kinetic aspects of the polymorphism of trimethylthiourea: the influence of kinetics on the transformations between polymorphs. *Crystal Growth & Design*, Washington,, v. 13, p. 1676-1684, 2013. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/cg400005d.

NZIKO, V. P. N.; SCHEINER, S. Comparison of π -hole tetrel bonding with ρ -hole halogen bonds in complexes of XCN (X = F, Cl, Br, I) and NH₃. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, Cambridge, v. 18, p. 3581-3590, 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP07545A.

OLIVEIRA, B. G. Teoria quântica de átomos em moléculas. *Revista Brasileira de Ensino de Física*, São Paulo, v. 42, p. e20190061-e20190069, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9126-RBEF-2019-0061.

OLIVEIRA, B. G. The interaction strength, frequencyshifts and covalence of the C_2H_4O ···HOCl and C_2H_5N ···HOCl heterocyclic complexes. *Orbital*: The Electronic Journal Of Chemistry, Campo Grande, v. 9, p. 95-99, 2017. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.17807/orbital.v9i2.853.

OLIVEIRA, B. G. Theoretical estimation of pnicogen bonds and hydrogen bonds in small heterocyclic complexes: Red-shifts and blue-shifts ruled by polarization effects. *Chemical Physics*, New York, v. 443, p. 67-75, 2014. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphys.2014.09.001.

OLIVEIRA, B. G.; ARAÚJO, R. C. M. U. SAPT: hydrogen bond or van der Waals interaction? *Química Nova*, São Paulo, v. 35, p. 2002-2012, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-40422012001000021.

PADASH, R.; ESFAHANI, M. R.; RAD, A. S. The computational quantum mechanical study of sulfamide drug adsorption onto $X_{12}Y_{12}$ fullerenelike nanocages: detailed DFT and QTAIM investigations. *Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics*, Guilderland, v. 39, p. 5427-5437, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020.1792991.

PASCOE, D. J.; LING, K. B.; COCKROFT, S. L. The origin of chalcogen-bonding interactions. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, Washington, v. 139, p. 15160-15167, 2017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b08511.

PATKOWSKI, K. Recent developments in symmetryadapted perturbation theory, *WIRES Computational Molecular Science*, Hoboken, v. 10, p. e1452-2e1498, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1452.

PHIPPS, M. J. S.; FOX, T.; TAUTERMANN, C. S.; SKYLARIS*, C. -K. Energy decomposition analysis approaches and their evaluation on prototypical protein–drug interaction patterns. *Chemical Society Reviews*, Letchworth, v. 44, p. 3177-3211, 2015. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CS00375F.

PIETSCH, U.; HANSEN, N. K. A critical review of the experimental valence charge density of GaAs, *Acta crystallographica B*, Oxford, v. 52, p. 596-604, 1996. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108768196003576.

PIMENTEL, G. C.; MCCLELLAN, A. L. Hydrogen bonding. *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry*, Palo Alto, v. 22, p. 347-385, 1971. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pc.22.100171.002023.

PIÑA, M. N.; FRONTERA, A.; BAUZÁ, A. Regium- π bonds are involved in protein-gold binding. *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, Washington, v. 11, p. 8259-8263, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.0c02295.

PISANO, L.; FARRIOL, M.; ASENSIO, X.; GAL-LARDO, I.; GONZÁLEZ-LAFONT, A.; LLUCH, J. M.; MARQUET*, J. Thermodynamics, Kinetics, and dynamics of the two alternative aniomesolytic fragmentations of C-O bonds: an electrochemical and theoretical study. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, Washington, v. 124, p. 4708-4715, 2002. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja012444g. PRASANNAA, M. D.; ROW, T. N. G. C-halogen… π interactions and their influence on molecular conformation and crystal packing: a database study. *Crystal Engineering*, [s. l.], v. 3, p. 135-154, 2000. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1463-0184(00)00035-6.

RACKERS, J. A.; PONDER, J. W. Classical Pauli repulsion: An anisotropic, atomic multipole model. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, Melville, v. 150, p. 084104-084127, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5081060.

RAHAMAN, O.; DOREN, D.J.; DI TORO, D. M. Quantum mechanical estimation of Abraham hydrogen bond parameters using 1:1 donor–acceptor complexes. *Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry*, Chichester, v. 27, p. 783-793, 2014. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.3337.

REGO, D.; OLIVEIRA, B. G. A new vision of the DNA triple-helix: structural, spectroscopic and electronic parameters for hydrogen bonding for Watson-Crick and Hoogsteen pairing. *Semina*: Ciências Exatas e Tecnológicas, Londrina, v. 41, p. 59-70, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5433/1679-0375.2020v41n1p59.

RILEY, K. E.; HOBZA, P. Investigations into the nature of halogen bonding including symmetry adapted perturbation theory analyses. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, Washington, v. 4, p. 232-242, 2008. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ct700216w.

RITTER, S. K. The art of the chemical bond. *ACS Central Science*, Washington, v. 2, p. 769-772, 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.6b00337.

RODRÍGUEZ, J. I.; URIBE, E. A.; BALTAZAR-MÉNDEZ, M. I.; AUTSCHBACH, J.; CASTILLO-ALVARADO, F. L.; GUTIÉRREZ-GONZÁLEZ, I. Size evolution relativistic DFT-QTAIM study on the gold cluster complexes Au₄-S-C_nH_{2n}-S'-Au_{4'} (n = 2-5). *Chemical Physics Letters*, Amsterdam, v. 660, p. 287-294, 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2016.08.038.

ROJAS, S.; PARRAVICINI, O.; VETTORAZZI, M.; TOSSO, R.; GARRO, A.; GUTIÉRREZ, L.; ANDÚ-JAR, S.; ENRIZ*, R. Combined MD/QTAIM techniques to evaluate ligand-receptor interactions. Scope and limitations. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, Paris, v. 208, p. 112792-112807, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112792. ROOTHAAN, C. C. J. New developments in molecular orbital theory. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, New York, v. 23, p. 69-89, 1951. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.23.69.

RZEPA, R. S. The importance of being bonded. *Nature Chemistry*, London, v. 1, p. 510-512, 2009. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.373.

SAGGU, M.; LEVINSON, N. M.; BOXER, S. G. Experimental quantification of electrostatics in X–H··· π hydrogen bonds. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, Washington, v. 134, p. 18986-18997, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja305575t.

SÁNCHEZ-SANZ, G.; TRUJILLO, C.; ALKORTA, I.; ELGUERO, J. Understanding regium bonds and their competition with hydrogen bonds in Au₂:HX complexes. *ChemPhysChem*, Weinheim, v. 17, p. 1572-1580, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201900354.

SANNIGRAHI, A. B.; KAR, T.; NIYOGI, B. G.; HOBZA, P.; SCHLEYER*, P. V. R. The lithium bond reexamined. *Chemical Reviews*, Washington,, v. 90, p. 1061-1076, 1990. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00104a007.

SANTOS, L. A.; HAMLIN, T. A.; RAMALHO, T. C.; BICKELHAUPT*, F. M. The pnictogen bond: a quantitative molecular orbital Picture. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, Cambridge, v. 23, p. 13842-13852, 2021.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CP01571K.

SCERRI, E. R. What is an element? What is the periodic table? And what does quantum mechanics contribute to the question? *Foundation of Chemistry*, [Dordrecht], v. 14, p. 69-81, 2012. DOI: https://10.1007/s10698-011-9124-y.

SCHEINER, S. A new noncovalent force: Comparison of P...N interaction with hydrogen and halogen bonds. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, Melville, v. 134, p. 094315-094324, 2011. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3562209.

SCHEINER, S. Origins and properties of the tetrel bond. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, Cambridge, v. 23, p. 5702-5717, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CP00242B.

SCHEINER, S. Tetrel bonds. *Molecules*, Basel, 2019.

SCHERER, W.; MCGRADY, G. S. Agostic interactions in d0 metal alkyl complexes. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, Weinheim, v. 43, p. 1782-1806, 2004. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200200548. SCHMIDBAUR, H.; RAUBENHEIMER, H. G.; DO-BRZAŃSKA, L. The gold-hydrogen bond, Au-H, and the hydrogen bond to gold, Au-H-X. *Chemical Society Reviews*, Letchworth, v. 43, p. 345-380, 2014. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CS60251F.

SCHNEIDER, H.-J. Noncovalent interactions: a brief account of a long history. *Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry*, Chichester, v. 35, p. e4340-e4350, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.4340.

SEABORG, G. T. The research style of Gilbert N. Lewis acids and bases. *Journal of Chemical Education*, Tucson, v. 61, p. 93-100, 1984. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ed061p93.

SHAH, M. B.; LIU, J.; ZHANG, Q.; STOUT, C. D.; HALPERT, J. R. Halogen- π interactions in the cytochrome P450 active site: structural insights into human CYP2B6 substrate selectivity. *ACS Chemical Biology*, Washington, v. 12, p. 1204-1210, 2017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.7b00056.

SHISHKINA, S. V.; DYAKONENKO, V. V.; SHISHKIN, O. V.; SEMYNOZHENKO, V. P.; BOGASHCHENKO, T. Y.; LYAPUNOV, A. Y.; KIRICHENKO, T. I. Halogen… π interactions in the complexes of fluorenonophane with haloforms. *Structural Chemistry*, Deerfield Beach, v. 33, p. 257-266, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11224-021-01839-2.

STENLID, J. H.; JOHANSSON, A. J.; BRINCK, T. ρ -Holes and ρ -lumps direct the Lewis basic and acidic interactions of noble metal nanoparticles: introducing regium bonds. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, Cambridge, v. 20, p. 2676-2692, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP06259A.

ŠTĚPÁNEK, P.; CORIANI, S.; SUNDHOLM, D.; OVCHINNIKOV, V. A.; VAARA, J. Relation between molecular electronic structure and nuclear spin-induced circular dichroism. *Scientific Reports*, [*s. l.*], v. 7, p. 1-11, 2017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46617.

SUMA, N.; ARULDHAS*, D.; JOE, I. H.; ANUF, A. R.; ARUN SASI, B. S. Spectroscopic, quantum chemical, QTAIM analysis, molecular dynamics simulation, docking studies and solvent effect of pyridin-2-yl oxyacetic acid herbicide and its derivatives. *Journal of Molecular Structure*, Amsterdam, v. 1206, p. 127677-127695, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2019.127677. SYZGANTSEVA, O. A.; TOGNETTI, V.; JOU-BERT, L. On the physical nature of halogen bonds: a QTAIM study. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Washington, v. 113, p. 8969-8990, 2013. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jp4059774.

SZALEWICZ, K. Symmetry-adapted perturbation theory of intermolecular forces. *WIRES Computational Molecular Science*, Hoboken, v. 2, p. 254-272, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.86.

TANTARDINI, C.; OGANOV, A. Thermochemical electronegativities of the elements. *Nature Communications*, [London], v. 12, p. 1-9, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22429-0.

TRUJILLO, C.; SÁNCHEZ-SANZ^{*}, G. ALKO-RTA, I.; ELGUERO, J. Halogen, Chalcogen and Pnictogen Interactions in $(XNO_2)_2$ Homodimers (X = F, Cl, Br, I). *New Journal of Chemistry*, Cambridge, v. 39, p. 6791-6802, 2015. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C5NJ00600G.

TURUNEN, L.; ERDÉLYI, M. Halogen bonds of halonium ions. *Chemical Society Reviews*, Letchworth, v. 49, p. 2688-2700, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CS00034E.

UMEYAMA, H.; MOROKUMA, K. The origin of hydrogen bonding. An energy decomposition study. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, Washington, v. 99, p. 1316-1332, 1977. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00447a007.

URNER, L. M.; LEE, G. Y; TREACY, J. W.; TUR-LIK, A.; KHAN, S. I.; HOUK, K. N.; JUNG^{*}, M. E. Intramolecular N-H…F hydrogen bonding interaction in a series of 4-anilino-5-fluoroquinazolines: experimental and theoretical characterization of electronic and conformational effects. *Chemistry an European Journal*, Tucker, v. 28, p. e202103135-e202103219, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202103135.

VAN DER LUBBE, S. C. C.; GUERRA, C. F. The nature of hydrogen bonds: a delineation of the role of different energy components on hydrogen bond strengths and lengths. *Chemistry an European Journal*, Tucker, v. 14, p. 2760-2769, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.201900717.

VARADWAJ, A.; VARADWAJ, P. R.; MARQUES, H. M.; YAMASHITA, K. The Pnictogen bond, together with other non-covalent interactions, in the rational design of one-, two- and three-dimensional organicinorganic hybrid metal halide perovskite semiconducting materials, and beyond. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, Basel, v. 23, p. 8816-8876, 2022a. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23158816.

VARADWAJ, A.; VARADWAJ, P.R.; MARQUES, H.M.; YAMASHITA, K. The pnictogen bond: the covalently bound arsenic atom in molecular entities in crystals as a pnictogen bond donor. *Molecules*, Basel, v. 27, p. 3421-3473, 2022b. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27113421.

VARADWAJ, P. R. Does oxygen feature chalcogen bonding? *Molecules*, Basel, v. 24, p. 3166-3183, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24173166.

VARADWAJ, P.; VARADWAJ, A.; MARQUES, H. Very strong chalcogen bonding: Is oxygen in molecules capable of forming it? a first-principles perspective. *Authorea*, Hoboken, p. 1-25, 2020. DOI: 10.22541/au.159248992.24101274.

VARGAS, R.; GARZA, J.; DIXON, D. A.; HAY^{*}, B. P. How strong is the C^{α} -H···O = C hydrogen bond? *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, Washington, v. 122, p. 4750-4755, 2000. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja993600a.

VEIS, L.; VIŠŇÁK, J.; NISHIZAWA, H.; NAKAI, H.; PITTNER*, J. Quantum chemistry beyond Born– Oppenheimer approximation on a quantum computer: A simulated phase estimation study. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*, New York, v. 116, p. 1328-1336, 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.25176.

VIANA, H. E. B.; PORTO, P. A. O processo de elaboração da teoria atômica de John Dalton. *Química Nova na Escola*, São Paulo, n. 20, p. 4-12, 2007. DOI: http://qnesc.sbq.org.br/online/cadernos/07/a03.pdf.

VLADILO, G. HASSANALI, A. Hydrogen bonds and life in the universe. *Life*, Basel, v. 8, p. 1-22, 2018. DOI: http://doi.org/10.3390/life8010001.

VOGEL, L.; WONNER, P.; HUBER, S. M. Chalcogen bonding: an overview. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, Weinheim, v. 58, p. 1880-1891, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201809432. VON DER LINDEN, W.; BERGER, E.; VALÁŠEK, P. The Hubbard-Holstein model. *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, New York, v. 99, p. 517-525, 1995. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00752333.

WANG, L.; LI, X.; ZENG, Y.; MENG, L.; ZHANG^{*}, X. Enhancing effects of π -hole tetrel bonds on the ρ -hole interactions in complexes involving F₂TO (T=Si, Ge, Sn). *Structural Chemistry*, Deerfield Beach, v. 30, p. 1301-1313, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11224-018-1274-2.

WANG, R.; LIU, H.; LI*, Q.; SCHEINER*, S. Xe…chalcogen aerogen bond. Effect of substituents and size of chalcogen atom. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, Cambridge, v. 22, p. 4115-4121, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CP06648A.

WANG, S. -J.; LI, Y.; WU, D.; LI*, Z. -R. Metal hydrides as sodium bond acceptors: hydride-sodium bond in the XH…NaH (X = HBe, LiBe, NaBe, HMg, LiMg, and NaMg) complexes. *Molecular Physics*, London, v. 110, p. 3053-3060, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2012.695807.

WANG, X.; LI, B.; LI, Y.; WANG^{*}, H.; NI, Y.; WANG, H. The influence of monomer deformation on triel and tetrel bonds between TrR_3/TR_4 (Tr = Al, Ga, In; T = Si, Ge, Sn) and N-base (N-base = HCN, NH₃, CN⁻). *Computational and Theoretical Chemistry*, Amsterdam, v. 1201, p. 113268-113277, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2021.113268.

WEINHOLD, F.; LANDIS, C. R.; GLENDEN-ING, E. D. What is NBO analysis and how is it useful? *International Reviews in Physical Chemistry*, Sevenoaks, v. 35, p. 399-440, 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/0144235X.2016.1192262.

WYSOKINSKI^{*}, R.; ZIERKIEWICZ, W.; MICHAL-CZYK, M.; SCHEINER^{*}, S. How many pnicogen bonds can be formed to a central atom simultaneously? *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, Washington, v. 124, p. 2046-2056, 2020. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.0c00257.

XIA, T.; LI, D.; CHENG, L. Theoretical analysis of the spodium bonds in $HgCl_2\cdots L$ (L = ClR, SR₂, and PR₃) dimers. *Chemical Physics*, Cambridge, v. 539, p. 110978, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphys.2020.110978.

YÁÑEZ, M.; SANZ, P.; MÓ, O.; ALKORTA, I.; ELGUERO, J. Beryllium bonds, do they exist? *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, Washington, v. 5, p. 2763-2771, 2009. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ct900364y.

YANG, Q.; WU, Q.; ZHANG, X.; YANG, X.; LI*, Q. Hydrogen and halogen bonds formed by MCO₃ (M=Zn, Cd) and their enhancement by a spodium bond. *Molecular Physics*, London, v. 120, n. 14, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2022.2102548.

YOURDKHANI, S.; KORONA, T.; HADIPOUR, N. L. Interplay between tetrel and triel bonds in RC₆H₄CNMF₃CNBX₃ complexes: a combined symmetry-adapted perturbation theory, møller-plesset, and quantum theory of atoms-in-molecules study. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, New York, v. 36, p. 2412-2428, 2015. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.24226.

ZHANG, J., CHEN, P., YUAN, B., JI, W., CHENG, Z., QIU*, X. Real-space identification of intermolecular bonding with atomic force microscopy. *Science*, Washington, v. 342, p. 611-614, 2013. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1242603.

ZHANG, Z.; LI, D.; JIANG, W; WANG^{*}, Z. The electron density delocalization of hydrogen bond systems. *Advances in Physics*: X, Oxford, v. 3, p. 297-314, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/23746149.2018.1428915.

ZHUANG, W. R.; WANG, Y.; CUI, P.-F.; XING, L.; LEE, J.; KIM, D.; JIANG, H.-L.; OH^{*}, Y.-K. Applications of π - π stacking interactions in the design of drug-delivery systems. *Journal of Controlled Release*, Amsterdam v. 294, p. 311-326, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2018.12.014.

ZIERKIEWICZ, W.; MICHALCZYK, M.; SCHEINER, S. Aerogen bonds formed between AeOF₂ (Ae = Kr, Xe) and diazines: comparisons between ρ -hole and π -hole complexes. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, Cambridge, v. 20, p. 4676-4687, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP08048D.

> Received: Sept. 21, 2022 Accepted: Nov. 27, 2022 Published: Dec. 29, 2022