Evaluation Form – *Mediações* Journal:

1. Is the subject of the article relevant to social sciences?

2. Is the article written clearly and consistently?

3. Is there an introduction in which the aim and justification of the article are clearly presented?

4. Does the article make innovative theoretical contributions?

5. Does the article make innovative empirical or methodological contributions?

6. Are the interpretations and conclusions demonstrated (clearly and satisfactorily)?

7. Do the abstract and keywords express the article well?

8. Do any changes need to be made to make the article more suitable for publication? (If so, please explain them in the box below, giving the reasons why. If you think the article needs corrections, please take into account that *Mediações* does not publish articles whose final versions are longer than 66,000 characters with spaces):

9. Opinion on the article's publication:

- ☐ Accept
- ☐ Accept as long as the mandatory corrections are observed
- ☐ Reject

10. If you decide on mandatory corrections, do you want to review the revised version?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

11. *Mediações* encourages and allows reviewers to work according to the principles of open peer review (Open Science, SciELO, etc.), which includes, among other things, the possibility of exchange between authors and identified reviewers. Do you wish this review to be open to the author(s) during the review process?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

12. Do you wish to have your name publicized as a reviewer at the end of the article, if the article comes to be approved and published?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

13. Reviews constitute a new type of literature in the SciELO methodology and are treated similarly to research articles. Do you authorize *Mediações* to publish the text or excerpts of your review?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No